

REMARKS

The foregoing amendments are made in response to the Advisory Action mailed by Examiner Nucker on July 28, 1980 and reflect matters discussed in a telephone conversation between Examiner Nucker and the undersigned attorney on August 5, 1980.

The amendment to claim 40 is made for the purpose of clarifying the point raised by the Examiner concerning the "production" of anti-MALIGNIN. As the claim now makes clear, the contacting of MALIGNIN and anti-MALIGNIN is not for the purpose of producing anti-MALIGNIN but rather for the purpose of obtaining (i.e., isolating and removing) anti-MALIGNIN from a fluid or other mixture in which it is contained.

Applicant's attorney wishes to thank Examiner Nucker for the courtesy of discussing this application in the telephone conversation above-noted, and regrets any confusion caused by the language chosen to describe the subject matter to which original claim 40 was directed.

Applicant respectfully urges that in view of the amendments herein, all claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. Early favorable notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

By William J. Speranza
William J. Speranza
Reg. 26,340